

1
2
3
4 DAVID WIT, et al.,
5 Plaintiffs,

6 v.
7 UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,
8 Defendant.

9 Case No. 14-cv-02346-JCS
10
11

**ORDER GRANTING MARY JONES'S
MOTION TO INTERVENE**

Re: Dkt. No. 461

12
13
14 Mary Jones, a plaintiff in *Jones v. United Behavioral Health*, No. 3:19-cv-6999-RS,
15 brings a motion for permissive intervention pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24
16 (“Motion to Intervene”). Jones seeks to intervene in this action so that she may move to amend
17 the November 14, 2014 Stipulated Protective Order (“Protective Order”), ECF No. 61. Defendant
18 UBH consents to Jones’s intervention for the limited purpose of moving to amend the Protective
19 Order. Jones has consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28
20 U.S.C. § 636(c). Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Jones’s Motion to Intervene subject to the
21 limitation that her intervention is permitted only to allow her to move to amend the Protective
22 Order.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: July 20, 2020

25
26 
JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge
27
28